



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,840	03/17/2004	Atsushi Fujita	04329.3270	3094	
22852	7590 11/04/2005		EXAMINER		
•	, HENDERSON, FAR	FAULK, DEVONA E			
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2644	_	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/801,840	•	FUJITA, ATSUSHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	·	Devona E. F	aulk	2644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event eply within the statuto od will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	February 2005	•				
7—							
7—							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	- · · · · ·						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepte he drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	ot (s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See No(s)/Mail Date	00,	1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 5) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/801,840 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, filed n2/17/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5 under 102 (b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Yang.
- 2. Claim 5 is cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites " a recording section which uses the A/D converter to convert right and left audio signals amplified by the microphone amplifiers into digital signals and records the digital signals in a recording medium". The A/D converter converts the right and left audio signals into digital signals. The specification recites that the system control section 5 records data in a file in a recording medium (page 5, lines 21-23). It is not clear what element reads on the recording section.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 recites "wherein the detection section detects

Art Unit: 2644

whether or not the voltage of one of the terminal is equal to the ground voltage when power of the apparatus is turned on". The specification discloses on page 10, lines 11-24 that when the power is turned on that the system control section reads Vdet and compares it with a predetermined threshold. It determines whether Vdet is smaller than the predetermined threshold value, not equal to the threshold value (page 10, lines 14-24). Furthermore the specification does not specifically disclose that the power mentioned is the power of the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4,6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al. (JP 08-293159) in view of Yang (U.S. 6,748,085).

Claims 1 and 6 share common features.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Matsumoto discloses a sound recording apparatus (Figure 1) comprising:

A microphone input terminal having right and left signal channel terminals and a ground terminal right and left audio signals input from the microphone input terminal (a microphone input terminal having right and left signal channel terminals and a ground terminal, Figure 1, page 2 of Figures; Figure 4a);

Application/Control Number: 10/801,840

Art Unit: 2644

A recording section which records, in a recording medium, right and left audio signals input from the microphone input terminal (sound recording section 14, paragraph 0033 under Detailed Description);

a microphone power supply section which supplies power to a microphone connected to the microphone input terminal via the right and left signal channel terminals and the ground terminal (paragraph 0014 under Detailed Description);

a detection section which detects whether or not a voltage of one of the right and left signal channel terminals is less than or greater than a ground voltage, and provides a detection result (control means 18, See Constitution on abstract page; paragraphs 0029, 0030 under Detailed Description);

and a control section which control a recording operation of the recording section in accordance with the detection result (See Constitution on abstract page, control means 18, Figure 1, page 2 of figures; paragraph 0026 under Detailed Description).

Additionally, regarding **claim 6**, Matsumoto further discloses microphone amplifiers, which amplify right and left audio signals input form the microphone terminal (Figure 3, 4L and 4R) and an A/D converter which converts analog signal into digital signals (6L, 6R, Figure 1, page 2 of figures)

Matsumoto fails to disclose but Yang teaches of detecting whether or not a voltage is equal to a ground voltage (Yang teaches of detecting whether a mono device or stereo device by detecting whether or not a voltage is equal to a reference voltage (column 4, lines 47-48, and 65-lines 67). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/801,840

Art Unit: 2644

obvious to modify Matsumoto so that the detection sections detects whether or not a voltage is equal to a ground voltage as taught by Yang in order to identify whether a stereo or mono device is connected (column 4, lines 65-67).

Regarding **claim 2**, Matsumoto as modified by Yang discloses wherein the detection section comprises a comparator which compares the voltage of the right signal channel terminal with a predetermined threshold value, and an output voltage of the comparator is provided as the detection result (See above apropos rejection of claim 1; Matsumoto, Constitution on abstracts page; paragraphs 0029,0030 under Detailed Description).

Regarding claim 3, Matsumoto as modified by Yang discloses wherein when the detection result indicates that the voltage of the right signal channel terminal is substantially equal to that of the ground terminal, the control section controls the recording section so that only the left audio signal input from the left signal channel terminal is recorded (sound recording section 14, paragraph 0029-0030 under Detailed Description).

Regarding claim 4, Matsumoto as modified by Yang discloses wherein the recording section has right and left sound recording channels, and when the detection result indicates that the voltage of the right signal channel terminal is substantially equal to that of the ground terminal, the control section controls the recording section so that the left audio signal input form the left signal channel terminal is recorded into the left sound recording channel and into the right sound recording channel. are

Application/Control Number: 10/801,840 Page 6

Art Unit: 2644

comprehended by claim 1 (See Abstract and Constitution; paragraphs 0028-0034 under Detailed Description).

Regarding **claim 7**, Matsumoto as modified by Yang discloses wherein the detection section detects whether or not the voltage of one of the terminals is equal to the ground voltage every time a microphone plug is plugged into the microphone input terminal (See above apropos rejection of claim 7)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

* Application/Control Number: 10/801,840

Art Unit: 2644

DEF

Page 7